To all AILIS Members

LIBRARY SCIENCE TALKS (LST's)
2000
Evaluation form

There were 6 LST's during the year 2000: in how many did you participate? ☐ 1 to 6

      A. INIS Database: International Nuclear Information System (February 22)
           by Claudio Todeschini
      B. EDD at the British Library (March 21)
           by Mick Osbourne
      C. Computer Supported Indexing (May 16)
           by Michael Guenardi
      D. EAD Encoded Archival Description (September 19)
           by Christine Woodland
      E. E-journals at OHIOLINK (October 17)
           by Carol Pitts Diedrichs
      F. Electronic Books 2000: Turning the Corner in 2000 (November 14)
           by Victor McCrary

On a scale of 1 (very poor) to 10 (excellent), how would you evaluate the talks in respect of the following criteria?

	Interesting in general	General interest for your work	Quality of presentation	Clarity of presentation	Interchange with audience
A.	☐ 1-10	☐ 1-10	☐ 1-10	☐ 1-10	☐ 1-10
B.	☐ 1-10	☐ 1-10	☐ 1-10	☐ 1-10	☐ 1-10
C.	☐ 1-10	☐ 1-10	☐ 1-10	☐ 1-10	☐ 1-10
D.	☐ 1-10	☐ 1-10	☐ 1-10	☐ 1-10	☐ 1-10
E.	☐ 1-10	☐ 1-10	☐ 1-10	☐ 1-10	☐ 1-10
F.	☐ 1-10	☐ 1-10	☐ 1-10	☐ 1-10	☐ 1-10

Planning the Library Science Talks (LST) Program for 2001

With respect of the planned program of LST in 2001, the AILIS Executive Committee would like to seek your opinion on a certain numbers of questions as follows:

► Which are the areas of interest in which you would like to have one or more talks? (indicate as above on a scale of 1(not needed) to 10 (absolute priority):

library management:	☐ 1-10
library automation:	☐ 1-10
archives management:	☐ 1-10
network resources:	☐ 1-10
e-publication:	☐ 1-10
grey literature:	☐ 1-10
collection development:	☐ 1-10
web design/management:	☐ 1-10
human resources development:	☐ 1-10

► How many LST should be planned per year?

► The current (geographical) distribution up to now was: three LST at CERN, two at WHO and one at WIPO. Is this OK also for 2001? Or should it be changed?

► The present length of the talks is 60-70 minutes with 15 minutes for the Q&A part. Is this acceptable? If not, how would you like to see it changed?

► Indicate with a few sentences your general appreciation of the LST program.

Thank you for assisting us to serve you even better in the future.

AILIS Executive Committee
Geneva, November 2000